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Three new azabicyclic amines, namely exo-3-amino-1-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane, 3-amino-1-azabicyclo[3.2.2]nonane and exo-6-amino-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1] octane, have been designed and prepared as isosteres of 3 -aminoquinuclidine. Aryl amides derived from each series were prepared and tested in an $\alpha 7$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptor assay as part of a drug discovery program to treat the cognitive deficits in schizophrenia. All new amides showed significant $\alpha 7$ nAChR activity and one series displayed potent $\alpha 7$ activity equal to the quinuclidine series.
J. Heterocyclic Chem., 45, 247 (2008).

## INTRODUCTION

Azabicyclic alkanes are important building blocks in drug discovery. Several drug substances possessing an azabicyclic alkane moiety, including sabcomeline [1], varenicline [2], granisetron [3] and cevimeline [4] have been launched (Chart 1). In addition, numerous reports on biologically active substances containing an azabicyclic alkane have appeared in the literature, with an emphasis towards CNS-related targets, such as serotonin $5-\mathrm{HT}_{3}$ and $5-\mathrm{HT}_{4}[5]$, muscarinic [6] and nicotinic receptors [7].
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As part of a drug discovery program, we have been interested in the design and synthesis of novel azabicyclic aryl amides as $\alpha 7$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptor ( nAChR ) agonists for the potential treatment of cognitive deficits in schizophrenia [8]. Early in our program, we established that aryl amides derived from 3-amino-
quinuclidine, such as PNU-282987 and PHA-543613, are potent $\alpha 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ agonists, whereas amides derived from a conformationally more flexible diamine are, at best, weak $\alpha 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ agonists [8a,8b]. The rigid quinuclidine framework locks the orientation of the bridgehead nitrogen lone pair orthogonal to that of the amide carbonyl oxygen lone pair. This orientation is presumably important for optimal binding to the $\alpha 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$. In a prior study, we utilized this design element to prepare new aryl amides as $\alpha 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ agonists derived from isosteres of 3-aminoquinuclidine, including 3-amino-1azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane and 2-amino-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane [8c]. We detail below the synthesis and $\alpha 7$ nAChR activity of aryl amides derived from other rigid aminoazabicyclic alkanes not disclosed in our previous communication, including exo-3-amino-1-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane, 3-amino-1-azabicyclo[3.2.2]-nonane and exo-6-amino-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane.


PNU-282987


PHA-543613

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Modeling studies suggested that aryl amides derived from 3-amino-1-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane show similar spatial orientation and distances between the key
pharmacophoric groups (i.e., the bridgehead nitrogen, the amide carbonyl and the aryl ring) to that of the corresponding quinuclidine amides. Also, a report from the muscarinic literature suggested that 1-azabicyclo[3.2.1] octane can function as an effective isostere of quinuclidine [9].

Chlorobenzamide $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1}$ was initially prepared in racemic form (Scheme 1). Thus, treatment of the known ketone ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-2 [10] with hydroxylamine generated the corresponding oxime as a mixture of geometric isomers. Subjection of the oxime to dissolving metal conditions $\left[\mathrm{Na}^{\circ}, n-\mathrm{PrOH}\right.$, reflux, 1 h$]$ gave rise to exo-amine ( $\pm$ )-3 as the only isolable product. Selective formation of the exoamine was not unexpected based on reductions of similar systems [11]. Furthermore, the exo-configuration was unambiguously established via X-ray crystallography on amide (-)-1 (vide infra). Amide ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )- $\mathbf{1}$ was prepared in modest yield by coupling amine ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ ) $\mathbf{3}$ with 4-chlorobenzoic acid in the presence of diphenyl phosphoryl azide (DPPA), followed by subsequent exposure to paratoluenesulfonic acid.

## Scheme 1



Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{NaOAc} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, EtOH, room temperature, 17 h ; (ii) $\mathrm{Na}^{\circ}$, $n$ - PrOH , reflux, 1 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (iv) 4-chlorobenzoic acid, DPPA, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, room temperature, 15 h ; (v) $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (vi) chromatographic resolution of enantiomers

The biological activity of newly prepared amides is detailed in Table 1. The compounds were evaluated in a FLIPR-based functional assay that utilizes SH-EP1 cells expressing the $\alpha 7-5 \mathrm{HT}_{3}$ chimera [8a]. Compounds were also evaluated in an $\alpha 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ radio-ligand binding assay. Quinuclidine amide, PNU-282987, and its enantiomer, ent-PNU-282987, are shown for comparative purposes. We were pleased to discover that amide $(\mathbf{\pm}) \mathbf{- 1}$, possessing the exo-configuration, shows similar $\alpha 7-5 \mathrm{HT}_{3}$ functional activity to PNU-282987 [12]. The racemate ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )- $\mathbf{1}$ was subsequently resolved by preparative chiral HPLC into its individual enantiomers (Scheme 1). Biological testing indicated that enantiomer (-)-1 shows very similar $\alpha 7$ nAChR functional activity and binding affinity compared
to PNU-282987, whereas enantiomer (+)-1 is significantly less potent. The 40 -fold potency difference between (-)-1 and (+)-1 is similar to the potency difference observed between PNU-282987 and ent-PNU-282987. The absolute configuration of enantiomer (-)-1 was found to possess the $(3 R, 5 R)$-stereochemistry [13], which is consistent with the preferred (3R)-stereochemistry of the quinuclidine and 1-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane amides for this receptor [8a,8c].

In order to efficiently prepare additional amides possessing $(3 R, 5 R)$-1-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-yl amine, an asymmetric synthesis of bicyclic amine ( $\pm$ )-3 needed to be developed. Our asymmetric route commenced with enantiomerically pure carboxylic acid (-)-4 [14], which was prepared in a single step by mixing ( $S$ )- $\alpha$-methylbenzylamine and itaconic acid to give a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomeric pyrrolidonecarboxylic acids. Previously, the diastereomers were separated by flash chromatography as the corresponding methyl esters [14b]. However, we, as well as others [14a], have found it more convenient, especially on larger scale, to separate the carboxylic acid diastereomers by trituration, taking advantage of the lower solubility of diastereomer (-)-4. Treatment of compound (-)-4 with lithium aluminum hydride effected smooth reduction of the lactam and carboxylic acid moieties. Activation of the resulting alcohol with thionyl chloride, followed by $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ displacement with cyanide afforded nitrile $(-)-5$. Methanolysis of (-)-5 gave rise (77\%) to ester (-)-6. It should be mentioned that Orena has previously prepared ester (-)-6 in 7-steps utilizing an oxidative cyclization of $N$-(2-alkenyl-1-yl)amides mediated by manganese(III) [15]. Transformation of (-)-6 into azabicyclic ketone (+)-7 was realized via a Kowalski carbon homologation [16], followed by hydrogenolysis of the $\alpha$-methylbenzyl group. The initially formed $\alpha$-chloro ketone was unstable and cyclized during workup to form a quaternary ammonium salt. While the $\alpha$-chloro ketone could be detected by LCMS (ESI) prior to workup, no attempts were made to fully characterize this intermediate. Our initial efforts toward utilizing the Kowalski sequence gave variable yields of the corresponding quaternary ammonium salt. After several attempts, we discovered that slow addition of a lithium diisopropyl amide solution to a cold $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution containing substrate and chloroiodomethane, maintaining the reaction temperature below $-75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, consistently gave higher yields of the quaternary ammonium salt, particularly on larger scale ( 24 g ). Ketone (+)-7 was transformed into amine (-)-3 using identical conditions to that shown in Scheme 1. Amide formation using HATU [17], followed by hydrochloride salt formation, afforded amide (+)-8 [18]. The $\alpha 7-5 \mathrm{HT}_{3}$ activity of (+)-8, prepared according to Scheme 2, was identical to the biological activity of (-)-1 prepared according to Scheme 1 (data not shown).

Scheme 2


Reagents and conditions: (i) (a) $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{EtOH}$ trituration; (ii) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 3 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, reflux, 1 h ; (iv) NaCN , DMSO, $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (v) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 72 \mathrm{~h}$; (vi) LDA, $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{I}$, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; $n$-BuLi, THF, 0.5 h ; $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$; (vii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 45 \mathrm{psi}, 60 \mathrm{~h}$; (viii) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{NaOAc} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, room temperature; (ix) $\mathrm{Na}^{\circ}, n-\mathrm{PrOH}$, reflux, 1 h ; (x) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (xi) HATU, $i$ - $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$, DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{RT}$, 24 h ; (xii) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$

Based on the favorable biological activity of (+)-8, we next considered amides derived from 3-amino-1azabicyclo[3.2.2]nonane, which can be considered a hybrid of the 3 -aminoquinuclidine and 3 -amino-1azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane templates. The first amide to be prepared in this series was furopyridine ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- 1 0}$ [19]. As shown in Scheme 3, tert-butyl 4-oxo-1-piperidinecarboxylate (9) served as the starting point for the preparation of racemic amide ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{) - 1 0}$. Toward this end, Horner-Emmons olefination of ketone 9, followed by catalytic hydrogenation of the double bond afforded ketone 11. Treatment of the lithium enolate of 11, generated from the reaction of ketone 11 with lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with trimethylsilyl chloride gave the corresponding silyl enol ether. In situ exposure of the silyl enol ether to phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide gave rise ( $52 \%$ ) to a 1:2 mixture of $\alpha$-bromo ketone 12a and $\alpha$-chloroketone 12b, respectively. Presumably, a significant portion of the initially formed bromide 12a underwent further reaction with lithium chloride, which was generated during the reaction, to give 12b. Since both ketones can be
effectively utilized in subsequent steps, 12a and 12b were carried forward as a mixture. Cleavage of the tert-butoxy carbonyl group in 12a,b with trifluoroacetic acid provided the corresponding piperidine, which was isolated as the trifluoroacetate salt. Slow addition of a solution of the piperidine trifluoroacetate salt to a dilute solution of Hunig's base in refluxing acetonitrile afforded azabicyclic ketone $\mathbf{1 3}$ in good yield. While ketone $\mathbf{1 3}$ has been prepared previously via Dieckmann condensation of diester 14, the product was isolated in less than $1 \%$ yield [20]. Conversion of ketone $\mathbf{1 3}$ into the corresponding oxime followed by dissolving metal reduction and treatment with para-toluenesulfonic acid afforded diamine $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 5}$ in good yield. HATU-mediated coupling of ( $\pm$ )-15 with furo[2,3-c]pyridine-5-carboxylic acid [8b] and subsequent exposure to fumaric acid led to racemic amide ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-10.

Scheme 3


Reagents and conditions: (i) NaH , diethyl (2-oxopropyl)phosphonate, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ room temperature, 18 h ; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50 \mathrm{psi}$, 5 h ; (iii) LiHMDS, TMSCl, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{PhMe}_{3} \mathrm{NBr}_{3},-78 \rightarrow 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $i$ - $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, 17 h ; (vi) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, $\mathrm{NaOAc} \bullet 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (vii) $\mathrm{Na}^{\circ}, n$ - PrOH , reflux, 2 h ; (viii) TsOH, EtOH; (ix) furo[2,3-c]pyridine-5-carboxylic acid, HATU, $i-\operatorname{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\rightarrow$ room temperature, 17 h ; (x) fumaric acid, acetone, $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

The biological activity of amide ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- 1 0}$ is detailed in Table 1; PHA-543613 and its enantiomer are shown for comparative purposes. Amide ( $\pm$ )-10 is 18 -fold less active than PHA-543613 and 5-fold less active than ent-PHA543613 in the $\alpha 7-5 \mathrm{HT}_{3}$ assay. Consistent with the functional data, the $\alpha 7 \mathrm{nAChR} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values for ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-10 are significantly higher than PHA-543613. The primary binding interaction between the $\alpha 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ and the azabicyclic amides under study most likely involves a
cation-pi interaction between the bridgehead nitrogen of the azabicycle and electron-rich aromatic residues in the agonist binding pocket [21]. The lower affinity of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-10 suggests that this pocket is sensitive to modest changes in steric bulk around the azabicyclic framework. Given the lower potency of $(\mathbf{\pm}) \mathbf{- 1 0}$, no attempts were made to resolve this compound.


In our previous communication, we identified amide 16 (Chart 2), containing the epibatidine-like 7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane skeleton, as a potent $\alpha 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ agonist with affinity similar to that of PHA-543613 [8c]. Research by Bai [22a] on epibatidine analogs showed that homoepibatidine possesses similar analgesic activity to epibatidine, and another report by Malpass [22b] established that homoepibatidine shows similar activity to epibatidine in a nicotinic receptor radio-ligand binding assay. The above results, together with the structural similarities between epibatidine and amide 16, prompted us to prepare a ring expanded version of amide $\mathbf{1 6}$ that contains the 6-amino-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane nucleus present in homoepibatidine (i.e., $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 7})$.

Chart 2
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Amide ( $\pm$ )-17 was prepared as shown in Scheme 4. The synthesis commenced with piperidine 18, recognized in 1998 as a logical starting point for the preparation of the 8 -azabicyclo[3.2.1] framework [23]. Piperidine $\mathbf{1 8}$ was prepared in 4 -steps and $42 \%$ yield from $N$-BOCpiperidine (19) [23,24]. Treatment of azomethine ylide precursor 18 with silver(I) fluoride in the presence of methyl propiolate provided in $46 \%$ yield azabicyclic ester $\mathbf{( \pm ) - 2 0}$. Hydrogenation of the olefin selectively generated the corresponding endo-ester. Inversion of the ester configuration with sodium methoxide, followed by subsequent saponification gave rise to carboxylic acid $\mathbf{( \pm ) - 2 1}$. Complete conversion to the exo-isomer was not
unexpected given the high endo-to-exo conversion obtained on a similar system [25]. Additional support for the exo-configuration of carboxylic acid ( $\pm$ )-21 was provided by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE studies on amide ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-17 (vide infra). While our work was on-going, Pandey described an asymmetric synthesis of carboxylic acid 21 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ ), which utilized piperidine $\mathbf{1 8}$ and Oppolzer's chiral acrloyl sultam [26]. Also concurrent with our work, Daly has described a racemic synthesis of the corresponding methyl ester of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-21 via a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction of 1-benzyl-3-oxidopyridinium chloride and methyl acrylate (5-steps, $1.2 \%$ yield) [27]. Curtius rearrangement of carboxylic acid ( $\pm$ )-21 and subsequent treatment with benzyl alcohol led to carbamate ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-22. Hydrogenolysis of the CBZ-group, followed by amide coupling with furo[2,3-c]pyridine-5-carboxylic acid and salt formation gave rise (75\%) to amide ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-17. The stereochemistry of the $\mathrm{C}(6)$ amido group in $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 7}$ was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE studies to possess the exo-orientation (Figure 1). That is, when the $\mathrm{C}(6)$-methine proton was irradiated, signal enhancement was observed for the endo-protons at $\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)$, suggesting the $\mathrm{C}(6$-endo $)-\mathrm{H}$ disposition.


Reagents and conditions: (i) methyl propiolate, $\mathrm{AgF}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18$ h; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C},(\mathrm{BOC})_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50 \mathrm{psi}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, $4 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) $(\mathrm{PhO}){ }_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, toluene, room temperature; $\mathrm{BnOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}$; (v) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50 \mathrm{psi}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (vi) furo[2,3-c]pyridine-5-carboxylic acid, HATU, $i-\operatorname{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$, DMF, room temperature, 17 h ; (vii) 3 N aq. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$

(+/-)-17
Figure 1. Difference NOE Experiment of compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-17 in DMSO- $d_{6}$

Biological results on exo-amide ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-17 show that it is nine-fold less potent than amide $\mathbf{1 6}$ in the $\alpha 7-5 \mathrm{HT}_{3}$ functional assay and eight-fold less potent in the $\alpha 7$ nAChR binding assay. Due to the diminished activity of $\mathbf{( \pm ) - 1 7}$, no attempts were made to resolve this compound. The corresponding racemic endo-isomer of ( $\pm$ )-17 conceivably could have been synthesized from ester $\mathbf{( \pm ) - 2 0}$. However, given the reduced affinity of racemic endo-epibatidine toward nicotinic receptors [28] and the lack of functional activity of the corresponding endodiastereomers of $\mathbf{1 6}\left(\alpha 7-5 \mathrm{HT}_{3} \mathrm{EC}_{50}=12.2 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ and $>100$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$, absolute stereochemistry undetermined), preparation of the endo-isomers of $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 7}$ was not justified.

Table 1
In vitro $\alpha 7$ nAChR Functional and Binding Activity

| Cpd\# | $\alpha 7-5 \mathrm{HT}_{3}$ chimera EC <br> 50 <br> $(\mathrm{nM}) \pm$ SEM [a] | $\alpha 7 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \pm$ SEM [b] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PNU-282987 | $128 \pm 31(n=16)$ | $24 \pm 8(n=13)$ |
| ent-PNU-282987 | 3400 | NT |
| $( \pm)-\mathbf{1}$ | 460,790 | 110,290 |
| $(-)-\mathbf{1}$ | 190,350 | 18,26 |
| $(+)-\mathbf{1}$ | 11,000 | NT |
| PHA-543613 | $65 \pm 11(n=12)$ | $8.8 \pm 1.1(n=5)$ |
| ent-PHA-543613 | 220,240 | 12,18 |
| $( \pm)-10$ | $1,200,1200$ | 110,160 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 69,130 | $9 \pm 1(n=4)$ |
| ent-16 | 4,700 | NT |
| $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 7}$ | 880 | 60,90 |

[a] Compounds tested in a cell-based FLIPR assay using SH-EP1 cells expressing the $\alpha 7-5 \mathrm{HT}_{3}$ chimera, which was previously established as predictive of native $\alpha 7$ nAChR activity [8a,8b]. [b] Rat brain homogenate binding assay, $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ MLA.

## CONCLUSION

We have designed and prepared three new azabicyclic amines and evaluated a derived aryl amide in each series as an $\alpha 7 \mathrm{nAChR}$ agonist. Of these, amide ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- 1}$, derived from the exo-3-amino-1-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane template, shows the most promising $\alpha 7$ nAChsR activity. Furthermore, it was found that enantiomer (-)-1, possessing the $(3 R, 5 R)$-stereochemistry, shows activity equal to PNU-282987. While amides derived from the 3-amino-1-azabicyclo[3.2.2]nonane and exo-6-amino-8azabyclo[3.2.1]octane templates are active in the $\alpha 7-5 \mathrm{HT}_{3}$ assay, they are significantly less potent than PHA-543613 and amide 16, respectively. Additional amides derived from azabicyclic amine (-)-3 are in progress. A full report on the synthesis, structure-activity relationship and in vivo activity of amides derived from amine (-)- $\mathbf{3}$ will be reported in due course.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Proton ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ) and carbon $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 spectrometer.

Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) relative to tetramethylsilane ( $\delta 0.0$ ). Infrared (IR) spectra, high-resolution mass spectra and combustion analyses were performed in-house by Analytical Chemistry Department personnel, Kalamazoo, MI. Melting points were obtained on a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using Analtech silica gel GF 250 micron plates. The plates were visualized either by UV inspection or $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ stain. Flash chromatography was performed as described by Still [29] using EM Science silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). All reagents were purchased from either the Aldrich Chemical Co. or The Lancaster Synthesis Co. and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. HATU [17] was purchased from Biosystems, Warrington, UK. All solvents were HPLC grade unless otherwise stated. Anhydrous solvents were purchased from the Aldrich Chemical Co. and used without further drying.

1-Azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-amine dihydrochloride [( $\pm$ )-3]. To a stirred mixture of racemic 1-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-one [10] ( $( \pm)-2,4.30 \mathrm{~g}, 26.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium acetate trihydrate $(10.9 \mathrm{~g}, 79.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 150 mL ) was added hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 34.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture stirred for 30 min ., followed by the addition of chloroform. The precipitate was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to afford a white solid. The solid was taken up in $n$-propanol ( 130 mL ), and sodium metal ( $17.6 \mathrm{~g}, 766$ mmol ) was added in small portions, which caused the mixture to reflux. [CAUTION: reaction is very exothermic]. After complete addition, a refluxing temperature was maintained with the aid of a $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath. Heating was maintained at that temperature for an additional 40 minutes. The oil bath was removed and $n$-propanol ( 50 mL ) was added, which dissolved the remaining sodium metal. The mixture was CAREFULLY quenched through the drop wise addition of water ( 100 mL ). Brine ( 20 mL ) was added, and the layers were separated. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered, treated with a freshly prepared hydrogen chloride in methanol solution. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the remaining solid was triturated in ether-ethanol ( 180 mL , $5: 1$ ). The solid was filtered, washed with ethanol and dried in vacuo to afford $3.96 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of ( $\pm$ )-3 as a white solid: IR (diffuse reflectance) $3098,3036,3018,2943,2890,2866,2858$, 2839, 2782, 2746, 2707, 2653, 2632, 2610, $2536 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , meanthol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 4.00-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.40-2.15 (m, 2H), 2.10-2.00 (m, 1H), 1.95-1.85 (m, 1H); low resolution MS (FAB) m/e $127(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 126,125,123,110,83$; high resolution MS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ 127.1235, found 127.1235. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \bullet 2 \mathrm{HCl} \bullet$ 1/8H2O: C, 41.75; H, 8.13; N, 13.91. Found: C, 41.74; H, 7.97; N, 13.89.
$N$-exo-1-Azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-3-yl-4-chlorobenzamide•4methylbenzenesulfonate $[( \pm)-1]$. To a stirred solution of 4-chlorobenzoic acid $(0.157 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine $(0.14$ $\mathrm{mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diphenylphosphoryl azide ( $0.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.88$ mmol ) in methylene chloride ( 5.0 mL ) was added a solution of $( \pm)-\mathbf{3}(0.832 \mathrm{~g}, 0.832 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methylene chloride $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature over night. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (Biotage 40S). Elution with chloroform-methanol-ammonium hydroxide (90:9:1) gave 64 mg of a white solid. The solid was dissolved in
ethanol and treated with para-toluenesulfonic acid mono hydrate ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The remaining residue was triturated in ethyl acetate-ethanol followed by acetone to afford $106 \mathrm{mg}(29 \%)$ of $( \pm)-\mathbf{1}$ as a white solid: mp 236-238 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (diffuse reflectance) 3290, 1656, 1547, $1319,1242,1194,1182,1168,1152,1123,1034,1011,853$, $827,683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.95$ (br s, 1H), $8.51(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.59 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.54 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.04 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.97$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.57-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.06(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.67 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.75-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-$ $2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.62 \mathrm{~Hz})$; high resolution MS (API) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] m / e$ 265.1107, found 265.1106. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ${ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 57.72; H, 5.77; N, 6.41. Found: C, 57.55; H, 5.93; N, 6.41.

The compound ( $\mathbf{\pm})-\mathbf{1}(520 \mathrm{mg})$ was resolved by preparative chiral HPLC using closed-loop steady-state recycling [30], 5 x 50 cm Chiralcel OD column ( $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ column temperature, 84 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate, 249 nm detection). Elution with heptane-isopropyl alcohol-diethylamine (75:24.9:0.1, v/v/v) afforded 242 $\mathrm{mg}(46 \%)$ of enantiomer (-)-1 [retention time $8.5 \mathrm{~min}(>99 \%$ ee); $\left.[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-6(c 0.96, \mathrm{DMSO})\right]$ and $252 \mathrm{mg}(48 \%)$ of enantiomer (+)-1 [retention time $15.0 \mathrm{~min}(97 \% \mathrm{ee})]$.
(3S)-5-Oxo-1-[(1S)-1-phenylethyl]-3-Pyrrolidine carboxylic acid [(-)-4]. Itaconic acid (123 g, 947 mmol$)$ and $(S)-\alpha-$ methylbenzylamine were heated in a $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After 4.25 h , the mixture was cooled and methanol ( 200 mL ) was added, which caused a solid precipitate to form. The resulting solid was filtered and re-suspended in ethanol ( $\sim 750$ mL ). The mixture was heated for 15 min , followed by concentration in vacuo until $\sim 450 \mathrm{~mL}$ of solvent remained. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and allowed to stand overnight. The resulting solid was filtered and dried in vacuo to afford (-)-4 (83.2 g, 36\%) as a white solid: mp 203-206 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. [14a] 202-204 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-102(c 1.1, \mathrm{MeOH})$ [lit. [14a] -100 ( $c$ $1.1, \mathrm{MeOH})$ ]; IR (diffuse reflectance) 2980, 2322, 2243, 1977, 1955, 1904, 1737, 1653, 1450, 1225, 1216, 1194, 1185, 699, 677 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-$ $7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-$ $3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low resolution MS (EI) m/e $233(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 218,160,105,104,103,91$, $79,78,77$; high resolution MS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) m / e$ 234.1130, found 234.1118. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 66.94 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.48 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.01$. Found: C, $66.76 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.54; N, 5.95.
(3R)-1-[(1S)-1-Phenylethyl]-3-Pyrrolidineacetonitrile [(-)5]. A suspension of (-)-4 ( $82.30 \mathrm{~g}, 352.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether ( 200 mL ) was added slowly (over $\sim 45 \mathrm{~min}$ ) to a slurry of lithium aluminum hydride ( $17.41 \mathrm{~g}, 458.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether ( 700 mL ). The mixture began to reflux during the addition. After complete addition, the mixture was heated with a $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for an additional 2.5 h . The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and water (62 mL ) was cautiously added. The resulting mixture was filtered and concentrated to give a yellow oil, which was crystallized (EtOAc/hexane) to afford $32.8 \mathrm{~g}(61 \%)$ of $(3 S)-1-[(1 S)-1-$ phenylethyl]-3-pyrrolidinemethanol as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 86-88$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. [31] 86-87 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-67^{\circ}$ (c 2.3, EtOAc) [lit. [31] -65.3 (c 1, EtOAc)]; IR (diffuse reflectance) 3187, 2976, 2970, 2962, 2955, 2926, 2870, 2823, 2806, 1450, 1368, 1141, 1058, 767, 706 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.20-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-$ $3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.05-$
$3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.10$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 146.9,130.3,128.8,128.7,69.7,67.4$, 59.3, 54.0, 40.1, 28.7, 24.8; low resolution MS (EI) m/e 205 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 191,190,128,105,91,88,86,84,77,51$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 76.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.33$; N, 6.82. Found: C, 76.11; H, 9.14; N, 6.83.

A solution of the above alcohol ( $78.85 \mathrm{~g}, 384.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform ( 500 mL ) was treated with a solution of thionyl chloride ( $70.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 960 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture spontaneously warmed during the addition and was heated under reflux for 40 min and cooled. The resulting mixture was concentrated, and the resulting semi-solid was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. The pH of the aqueous layer was adjusted to 9 using aqueous sodium bicarbonate, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was back extracted with ethyl acetate, and the combined organics were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Toluene ( ca. 50 mL ) was added and the mixture was again concentrated in vacuo to afford $89 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of the corresponding chloride as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-$ $3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.55 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.63-2.50 (m, 1H), 2.50-2.33 (m, 2H), 2.13-2.03 (m, 1H), 1.59$1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.58 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

The above oil was dissolved in DMSO ( 350 mL ) and the solution was treated with sodium cyanide ( $32.9 \mathrm{~g}, 671 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was heated in a $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 1 h , followed by cooling to room temperature. Water $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution extracted with tert-butyl methyl ether (MTBE). The MTBE layer was washed with water ( 2 x ), and brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to afford (-)-5 (80.6 g, 98\%) as a red oil. An analytical sample was prepared via distillation (110-120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \sim 3$ torr) to afford a clear oil: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-53^{\circ}(c$ 1.03, DMSO); IR (liq.) $3027,2970,2932,2875,2786,1492,1452$, 1370, 1315, 1307, 1281, 1211, 1149, 765, $702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.25-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 2.70-2.85 (m, 2 H$), 2.40-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,5.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; low resolution MS (CI) m/e $215(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 128,120$, $111,109,84,70,69,61,58$; high resolution MS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 215.1548$, found 215.1543. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 78.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.47$; N, 13.07. Found: C, 78.14; H, 8.44; N, 12.87.
(3R)-1-[(1S)-1-Phenylethyl]-3-pyrrolidineacetic acid methyl ester [(-)-6]. To a stirred solution of (-)-5 (137.5 g, 641 mmol) in methanol ( 200 mL ) was added to a solution of hydrogen chloride in methanol, prepared by the slow addition of acetyl chloride ( $900 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.7 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) to a chilled (ice bath) flask containing methanol ( 1.5 L ). The mixture was stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere for 72 h . Nitrogen was bubbled through the suspension for 2.5 h , and the remaining mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The remaining residue was partitioned between $5 \%$ aqueous sodium hydroxide and ethyl acetate. The pH of the aqueous layer was adjusted to 9 with additional aqueous sodium hydroxide and sodium bicarbonate, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was back extracted with ethyl acetate, and the combined organics were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered, concentrated in vacuo to afford 132 g of an orange oil. The crude product was purified by distillation $\left(107-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \sim 3\right.$ torr) to afford (-)-6 (122.4 g,
$77 \%$ ) as a clear oil: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-47^{\circ}$ (c 0.98, DMSO); IR (liq.) 2970, 2954, 2932, 2781, 1738, 1492, 1452, 1436, 1370, 1281, 1253, $1200,1156,765,702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.20-$ $7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.95$ (m, 1H), 2.55-2.70 (m, 2 H ), 2.40-2.55 (m, 3 H ), 2.00-2.15 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; low resolution MS (EI) m/e $247\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 233,232,170,105,103,91$, 86, 84, 79, 77; high resolution MS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 248.1650, found 248.1651. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, 72.84; H, 8.56; N, 5.66. Found: C, 72.89; H, 8.40; N, 5.69.
(5R)-1-Azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-one•hydrochloride [(+)7]. A solution containing ( - )-6 ( $24.0 \mathrm{~g}, 97.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrahydrofuran ( 270 mL ) under argon atmosphere was cooled to -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this solution was added chloro iodomethane ( 21.1 mL , 290 mmol ). After complete addition, the mixture was stirred for 5 min before a freshly prepared lithium diisopropyl amide solution ( $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 290 mmol ) was slowly added via canula. After complete addition (ca. 1.25 h ), the mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional $25 \mathrm{~min} . n-\operatorname{BuLi}(138 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 2.1 M tetrahydrofuran solution, 290 mmol ) was added over a 20 minute period. The mixture was stirred for an additional 15 min ., followed by quenching via the addition of a saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution ( $c a .50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The mixture was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by the addition of water (100 mL ). While still cold, the organic layer was separated, washed with water ( 40 mL ), brine ( 50 mL ) and the combined aqueous layers were back extracted with ethyl acetate $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were concentrated in vacuo, and the remaining residue was diluted with ethanol ( 30 mL ). The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the remaining residue was diluted with methylene chloride. Concentration of the mixture in vacuo gave a foam. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (Biotage 40M). Elution with methylene chloride-methanol (95:5 $\rightarrow 85: 15$ ) gave 17.3 g ( $67 \%$ ) of (5R)-3-oxo-1-[(1S)-1-phenyl-ethyl]-1-azoniabicyclo[3.2.1]octane chloride as an offwhite foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.60-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 7.45-7.55 (m, 3 H ), 5.02 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H ), 4.05-4.20 (m, 1 H), 3.80-4.05 (m, 4 H$), 3.25-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.65-2.80 (m, 1 H), 2.35-2.50 (m, 2 H), 1.85-1.95 (m, 1 H), 1.74 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 201.5$, $134.5,131.3,131.2,129.9,73.0,65.4,64.5,62.5,46.2,32.4$, 29.6, 15.5; MS (ESI+) m/e (M+H) 230.

A mixture of the above foam ( $38.4 \mathrm{~g}, 144 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(9.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in methanol $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a Parr bottle was shaken under an atmosphere of hydrogen ( 45 psi ) for 60 h . The mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filter cake was washed with methanol. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The remaining residue was suspended in ether-ethanol and sonicated. The solid was filtered and dried in vacuo to afford $17.1 \mathrm{~g}(73 \%)$ of (+)-7 as a white solid: mp 281-283 (dec.); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}} 33^{\circ}$ (c 0.97, DMSO); IR (diffuse reflectance) 2958, 2904, 2803, 2738, 2717, 2683, 2605, 2580, 2550, 2498, 2443, 1730, 1359, 1221, $874 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta$ 4.15-4.25 (m, 1 H ), 3.85-3.95 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-3.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H})$, 2.00-2.10 (m, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta$ 201.4, 65.2, 58.4, 55.2, 47.9, 34.3, 29.6; low resolution MS (EI) m/e $125\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 97,96,82,69,68,56,55,54,51$; high resolution MS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ m/e 126.0919, found 126.0937. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}: \mathrm{C}, 52.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.48 ; \mathrm{N}$, 8.67. Found: C, $51.89 ;$ H, 7.53 ; N, 8.63.
(3R,5R)-1-Azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-amine dihydrochloride [(-)-3]. The compound (-)-3 (3.51 g, 78\%) was prepared from (+)-7 ( $3.64 \mathrm{~g}, 22.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 29.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium acetate trihydrate ( $9.23 \mathrm{~g}, 67.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by subsequent treatment with sodium metal ( $13.6 \mathrm{~g}, 618 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $n$-propanol ( 100 mL ) in a manner similar to that described for the preparation of $( \pm) \mathbf{- 3}$. White solid: $\mathrm{mp}>290^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-3^{\circ}(c 0.94$, DMSO $)$.
$N$-( $1 R, 3 R, 5 R$ )-1-Azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-3-yl-4-chlorobenzamide•hydrochloride $[(+)-8]$. To a stirred solution of $p$-chlorobenzoic acid ( $0.221 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine $(0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(-)-\mathbf{3}(0.221 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydro-furan- $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( $22 \mathrm{~mL}, 9: 2$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $O$ -(7-azabenzotriazolo-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate [17] (HATU, $0.422 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stir overnight. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the remaining residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and 1 N aqueous sodium hydroxide solution. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (Biotage 40S). Elution with chloroform-methanol-ammonium hydroxide (90:9:1), followed by concentration of the fractions in vacuo gave an oil. The oil was taken up in ethyl alcohol and re-concentrated in vacuo. The remaining oil was treated with a solution of hydrogen chloride in methanol, followed by concentration in vacuo. The crude product was triturated in a mixture of isopropyl alcohol-ethanol-ether. The solid precipitate was filtered and dried in vacuo to afford 0.272 g $(81 \%)$ of (+)-8 as a white solid: mp 284-287 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ dec. $) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}} 3(c$ $0.44, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); IR (diffuse reflectance) 2599, 2578, 2555, 2535, $2516,2501,2488,1658,1592,1538,1485,1319,1302,1091,851$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.48$ (br s, 1 H ), 8.55$8.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.40-4.55 (m, 1 H), 3.00-3.55 (m, 6 H), 2.65-2.75 (m, 1 H), 2.05$2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; low resolution MS (EI ) m/e $264\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, 264, 141, 139, 111, 109, 96, 94, 83, 82, 75; high resolution MS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 265.1107$, found 265.1120. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot$ $\mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1 / 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 55.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.06 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.23$. Found: C, $55.41 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.09; N, 9.16.
tert-Butyl 4-(2-oxopropyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (11). Sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ oil dispersion, $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 105 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was washed with pentane ( 3 X ) and suspended in dry tetrahydrofuran $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before diethyl (2oxopropyl)phosphonate ( $20.5 \mathrm{~g}, 105 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. After complete addition, the solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 min . tert-Butyl 4 -oxo-1piperidinecarboxylate $(9,20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 100.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in portions over 10 min ., followed by stirring at room temperature for 2 h . A saturated aqueous solution of ammonium chloride was added, followed by dilution with ether. The organic layer was extracted with water. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated to a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. Elution with hexanes-ether (60:40) gave 15.0 g ( $62 \%$ ) of tert-butyl 4-(2-oxopropylidene)piperidine-1carboxylate as a white solid: mp 71-72 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.50$ (etherhexanes, 1:1); IR (diffuse reflectance) 1676, 1620, 1428, 1364, 1353, 1306, 1277, 1248, 1166, 1119, 955, 864, 767, 696, 640 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.81 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.47(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.80 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.93(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.50 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$
$=5.73 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 199.6,156.8,155.2,123.6,80.03,36.93,32.53,30.14$, 28.99. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 65.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.84 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.85$. Found: C, 65.03; H, 8.82; N, 5.85.

A mixture of the above solid ( $8.15 \mathrm{~g}, 34.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $10 \%$ palladium on activated carbon $(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$ in ethyl alcohol ( 200 mL ) was placed in a Parr bottle and hydrogenated for 5 h at 50 psi . The mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to afford 8.1 g ( $99 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{1 1}$ as a clear oil: $R_{f} 0.52$ (ether-hexanes, 1:1); IR (neat) 2966, 2921, 1708, 1691, 1241, 1162, 1081, 965, 861, $764 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.00 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.73(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=14.43$, $2.10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.37(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.76 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.65 (br d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.56 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{qd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $12.49,4.39 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 208.3,155.2$, 79.70, 50.54, 43.97, 32.28, 32.13, 31.06, 28.84.

4-(3-Bromo-2-oxo-propyl)-piperidine-1-carboxylic acid tertbutyl ester [12a] and 4-(3-chloro-2-oxo-propyl)-piperidine-1carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester [12b]. To a dry flask was added a solution of lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( 14.6 mL of a 1.0 M solution in tetrahydrofuran, 14.6 mmol ). The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by sequential dropwise addition of trimethylsilyl chloride ( $1.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathbf{1 1}(3.21 \mathrm{~g}$, $13.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The mixture was warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide $(5.31 \mathrm{~g}, 14.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at once. The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was diluted with ether and water, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous sodium thiosulfate and brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to an oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. Elution with hexanes-ether (60:40) gave $2.2 \mathrm{~g}(52 \%)$ of an inseparable 1:2 mixture of 12a and $\mathbf{1 2 b}$, respectively as a clear oil.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-Bromoketone 12a: $R_{f} 0.41$ (hexanes-ether, 20:80); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.11$ (br d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.56 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.89 (s, 2H), $2.77(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.32,2.60 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.78$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.13-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.05 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ), 1.17 (qd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=12.44,4.28 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); low resolution MS (ESI) m/e 220 [M-BOC+2H].
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-Chloroketone 12b: $R_{f} 0.41$ (hexanes-ether, 20:80); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.11$ (br d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.56 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.09 (s, 2H) , $2.77(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.32,2.60 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.74$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.13-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.05 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ), 1.17 (qd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=12.44,4.28 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); low resolution MS (ESI) m/e 176 [M-BOC+2H].

1-azabicyclo[3.2.2]nonan-3-one [13]. To a stirred mixture of 12a and 12b ( $1: 2$ mixture, $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 60 mL ) in an ice-water bath was added trifluoroacetic acid ( $15 \mathrm{~mL}, 190 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The volatiles were removed in vacuo to afford 4.7 g (99\%) of $1: 2$ mixture of 1 -bromo-3-piperidin-4-ylacetone trifluoroacetate and 1-chloro-3-piperidin-4-ylacetone trifluoroacetate as an oil.

1-Bromo-3-piperidin-4-ylacetone trifluoroacetate: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.63-8.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), ~ 8.22-8.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12.63 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $12.41 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.62 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.31-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{br}$ d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.84 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.60(\mathrm{qd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=15.55,3.88 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low resolution MS (ESI) $m / e 220[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

1-Chloro-3-piperidin-4-ylacetone trifluoroacetate: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.63-8.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22-8.09$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12.63 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $12.41 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.60 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.31-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01$ (br d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.84 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.60(\mathrm{qd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=15.55,3.88 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low resolution MS (ESI) m/e $176[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

To a stirred solution of diisopropylethylamine ( 30 mL ) in acetonitrile ( 1.3 L ) at reflux temperature was added a solution of the above oil ( $4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ over a 6 h period via syringe pump. The mixture was kept at reflux temperature overnight. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the remaining residue was partitioned between half saturated aqueous potassium carbonate solution and chloroform-methanol ( $90: 10$ ). The aqueous layer was extracted with chloroformmethanol (90:10), and the combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to a brown oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. Elution with chloroform-methanolammonium hydroxide (95:4.5:0.5) gave $1.59 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 3}$ as a clear solid: mp 129-131 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. [20] 128.5-131.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.55$ (chloroform-methanol-ammonium hydroxide, 81:15:1); IR (chloroform) 2931, 2867, 1701, 1455, 1404, 1198, $1092 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.63$ (s, 2H), 3.27-3.18 (m, 2H), $3.07-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.25-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.96-1.79 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 224.1,70.20$, 51.72, 47.35, 27.54, 24.20; low resolution MS (ESI) m/e 140 [M+H].

1-Azabicyclo[3.2.2]nonan-3-aminebis(4-methylbenzene sulfonate) [ $\mathbf{( \pm ) - 1 5 ]}$. To a stirred mixture of $\mathbf{1 3}(1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 10.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium acetate trihydrate $(2.94 \mathrm{~g}, 21.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethyl alcohol ( 27 mL ) was added hydroxylamine•hydrochloride $(905 \mathrm{mg}, 13.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . The mixture was diluted with chloroform, filtered, and the mother liquor was concentrated in vacuo to afford $1.66 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of a mixture (2.4:1) of oximes as a white solid.

First eluting oxime: $R_{f} 0.62$ (chloroform-methanolammonium hydroxide, 80:19:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol$\left.d_{4}\right) \delta 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.40 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.40-2.35 (m, 1H), 2.10-2.00 (m, 4H).

Second eluting oxime: $R_{f} 0.53$ (chloroform-methanolammonium hydroxide, 80:19:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol$\left.d_{4}\right) \delta 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.40-2.35 (m, 1H), 2.00-1.85 (m, 4H).

To a stirred solution of the above mixture of oximes $(1.67 \mathrm{~g}$, $10.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $n$-propanol ( 150 mL ) at reflux temperature was added sodium metal ( $3.7 \mathrm{~g}, 162 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in small portions over 30 minutes. Heating at reflux was continued for 2 h . The solution was cooled to RT and diluted with ethyl acetate and brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to a white solid. The solid is taken up in ethyl alcohol ( 20 mL ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 21.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The solution was warmed in a water bath to $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes, followed by cooling in a freezer $\left(-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ overnight. The solid precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with acetone and dried in vacuo to afford $4.21 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 5}$ as a white solid: mp $231-233{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (diffuse reflectance) $3023,2990,2943,2886$, $2733,2672,1498,1493,1217,1175,1124,1035,1011,814,684$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.73$ (d, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.16$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.99 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.35(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-$
$1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.55 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}\right) \delta 143.7,142.4,130.4,127.3,57.15,50.99,46.48$, 45.41, 38.71, 25.78, 24.54, 22.12, 21.73; high-resolution MS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ 141.1392, found 141.1388. Anal. Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 54.53 ; H, 6.66; N, 5.78. Found: C, 54.34; H, 6.67; N, 5.79.
$N$-(1-Azabicyclo[3.2.2]non-3-yl)furo[2,3-c]pyridine-5-carboxamide $\cdot$ fumarate $[( \pm)-10]$. To a stirred solution of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 5}$ ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 8.0 mL ) in a 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ice bath were added sequentially diisopropylethylamine (334 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), furo[2,3-c]pyridine-5-carboxylic acid [8b] (130 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HATU ( $243 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min ., followed by warming to room temperature and stirring overnight. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to a brown residue. The residue was partitioned between saturated aqueous potassium carbonate solution and chloroform-methanol (90:10). The aqueous layer was extracted with chloroform-methanol ( $90: 10$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. Elution with chloroform-methanol-ammonium hydroxide (95:4.5:0.5) gave $85 \mathrm{mg}(47 \%)$ of a solid.
To a stirred solution of the above solid ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone was added fumaric acid ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was heated in a water bath at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min ., followed by concentration of the solvent in vacuo. Ethyl acetate $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue, which caused a solid to precipitate. The precipitate was filtered and dried in vacuo to afford $100 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 199-200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (diffuse reflectance) 3260, 3105, 2938, 2877, 2579, 1693, 1667, 1601, 1524, 1454, 1351, 1337, 1314, 1294, $1281 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.00 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.81-4.72 (m, 1H), 3.77 (dd, 1H, J = 12.98, 5.69 Hz), 3.67-3.57 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-1.98(\mathrm{~m}$, 5 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 171.7,167.0,155.5$, $151.9,144.6,137.0,136.6,134.0,117.6,108.4,58.69,50.56$, 46.13, 44.09, 40.58, 26.30, 25.15, 22.70; high-resolution MS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] \mathrm{m} / e$ 286.1555, found 286.1559. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : C, 59.18; H, 5.83; N, 10.35. Found: C, 59.13; H, 5.90; N, 10.15.

8-Benzyl-8-aza-bicyclo[3.2.1]oct-6-ene-6-carboxylic acid methyl ester [( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-20]. Methyl propiolate ( $3.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was combined with anhydrous silver fluoride ( $6.98 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry acetonitrile ( 175 mL ) in a 200 mL one neck round bottom flask under nitrogen atmosphere. To this mixture was added 1-benzyl-2,6-bis(trimethylsilyl)piperidine [23] (18, $8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 25$ mmol ). After 3 h of stirring at room temperature, a silver mirror formed on the inside wall of the flask. The mixture was warmed to $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirring was maintained overnight. The mixture was cooled, filtered through Celite, and the filter cake was thoroughly washed with dichloromethane. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a brown oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. Elution with hexanes-ethyl acetate (83:17) afforded $2.96 \mathrm{~g}(46 \%)$ of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-20 as a pale amber oil: IR (liq.) 2948, 2920, 2243, 1950, 1717, 1436, 1333, 1314, 1248, 1220, 1096, 1056, 746, 731, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.84-1.75 (m, 2H), 1.56-135 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 165.4,142.5,139.6,135.2,128.6,128.3,126.8,66.09$,
65.54, 57.90, 51.59, 25.04, 24.57, 16.66; low resolution MS (EI) $m / e$ (rel intensity): 257 (M+, 8), 257 (8), 228 (14), 198 (37), 106 (6), 92 (10), 91 (99), 86 (23), 84 (33), 65 (17), 51 (19); high resolution MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ m/e 258.1494, found 258.1496.
exo-8-Azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-6,8-dicarboxylic acid 8-tert-butyl ester $[( \pm)-21]$. Methyl ester ( $\pm$ )-20 was combined with 300 mg of $10 \%$ palladium on activated carbon and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 17.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 50 mL of ethyl alcohol in a 250 mL Parr shaker flask. The mixture was hydrogenated at 50 psi for 6 h at room temperature. The catalyst was removed by filtration, and the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. Elution with hexanes-ethyl acetate ( $85: 15$ ) gave 2.69 g ( $87 \%$ ) of methyl endo-8-tert-butoxycarbonyl-8-aza-bicyclo[3.2.1]octane-6-carboxylate as a pale yellow solid: mp $81-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (diffuse reflectance) 2980, 2950, 2430, 2395, 2332, 2285, 2237, 1735, 1682, $1409,1315,1307,1212,1197,1186 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 4.39-4.17 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.23-3.17 (m, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.26-2.18 (m, 2H), 1.76-1.49 (m, 6H), 1.49 ( s . 9 H ) ; low resolution MS (CI) m/e (rel intensity): 270 (MH+,58), 271 (9), 270 (58), 231 (25), 214 (4), 187 (3), 171 (9), 170 (99), 169 (3), 83 (6), 58 (5). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 62.43$; H, 8.61; N, 5.20. Found: C, 62.54; H, 8.50; N, 5.20.

The above yellow solid ( $1.68 \mathrm{~g}, 6.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was combined with sodium methoxide ( $886 \mathrm{mg}, 16.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 30 mL of anhydrous methyl alcohol in an oven dried 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask under nitrogen. The reaction was heated to reflux for 4 h , followed by cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water ( 8 mL ) was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The volatiles were removed in vacuo and the remaining residue was dissolved in water ( 15 mL ). The pH of the mixture was adjusted to 3 with concentrated hydrochloric acid, followed by extraction with ethyl acetate ( $4 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo to provide $1.48 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%)$ of $( \pm) \mathbf{- 2 1}$, as a white solid: mp 119-120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (diffuse reflectance) 3007, 2975, 2965, 2950, 2930, 2878, 2351, 2338, 1691, 1397, 1360, 1324, $1239,1188,1096 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.58-4.25$ (m, 2H), $2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H); low resolution MS (EI) $m / e$ (rel. intensity): 255 (M+, 38), 255 (38), 182 (67), 155 (69), 138 (36), 112 (57), 110 (78), 83 (99), 82 (80), 68 (57), 57 (95). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 61.16; H, 8.29; N, 5.49. Found: C, 61.14; H, 8.27; N, 5.43 .
exo-6-Benzyloxycarbonylamino-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]-octane-8-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester [( $\pm$ )-22]. To a stirred solution of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-21 ( $1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 5.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine $(0.808 \mathrm{ml}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a 100 ml two neck round bottom flask under nitrogen was added diphenylphosphoryl azide ( $1.25 \mathrm{ml}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . Benzyl alcohol ( 0.642 $\mathrm{ml}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was warmed at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 75 mL ). The mixture was washed with 100 mL of saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. Elution with hexanes-ethyl acetate (75:25) gave $1.75 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%)$ of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-22 as a pale oil: IR (liq.) 2141, 1996, 1956, 1693, 1680, 1531, 1405, 1393, 1367, 1334, 1252,

1233, 1173, 1097, $1040 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; low resolution MS (EI) $m / e$ (rel. intensity): 360 (M+, 8), 260 (99), 183 (31), 127 (82), 92 (28), 91(86), 86 (61), 84 (77), 83 (87), 82 (80), 57 (57). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 66.64; H, 7.83; N, 7.77, Found: C, 66.29; H, 7.81 ; N, 8.09.
exo- $N$-(8-Azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-6-yl)furo[2,3-c]pyridine-5-carboxamide $\cdot$ dihydrochloride [( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- 1 7 ]}$. Carbamate ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-22 ( $812 \mathrm{mg}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was combined with 80 mg of $10 \%$ palladium on activated carbon in 25 mL of ethyl alcohol in a 250 mL Parr shaker flask. The mixture was hydrogenated at 50 psi for 2 h at room temperature. The catalyst was removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to provide a pale oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. Elution with methylene chloride-methanol-ammonium hydroxide (93:6:1) gave 508 mg ( $99 \%$ ) of exo-6-amino-8-tert-butoxycarbonyl-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane as a pale oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; low resolution MS (API) $m / e 227[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

To a stirred solution of the above oil ( $508 \mathrm{mg}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), furo[2,3-c]pyridine-5-carboxylic acid [8b] (474 mg, 2.37 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and diisopropylethylamine ( $1.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide ( 6 mL ) under nitrogen atmosphere was added HATU ( $890 \mathrm{mg}, 2.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the remaining residue was partitioned between chloroform ( 25 mL ) and brine-ammonium hydroxide $(25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1)$. The aqueous layer was back-extracted with 25 mL of chloroform, and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. Elution with hexanes-ethyl acetate $(1: 1)$ gave 670 $\mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$ of tert-butyl exo-6-(furo[2,3-c]pyridine-5-yl-carbonyl)amino-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-8-carboxylate as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.98$ (s, 1H), $8.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

To the above solid ( $497 \mathrm{mg}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added methanolic hydrogen chloride ( 5 mL of a 3.0 N solution). The solution was stirred 1 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which resulted in a white precipitate. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with ether $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was further cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The white precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with ether. The precipitate was dried in vacuo to afford 455 mg $(95 \%)$ of $( \pm)-17: \operatorname{mp} 200-202^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (diffuse reflectance) 3029 , 3022, 2987, 2943, 2924, 2895, 2462, 2351, 2339, 2288, 2224, $1668,1635,1601,1339 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 10.01$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=9.2,4.5), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.7,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-$ $1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; low resolution $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ (rel. intensity): 271 (M+,23), 189 (99), 146 (81), 119 (54), 118 (85), 83 (91), 82 (74), 80 (42), 68 (66), 63 (41), 55 (33); high resolution MS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 272.1399$, found 272.1399. \% Water (KF titration): 5.34. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \bullet$ $5.34 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 49.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.86$; N, 11.55. Found: C, 49.53; H, 6.00; N, 11.41.
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